## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/617,850 LULLA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Nihir Patel 3772 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Nihir Patel. (3)Patricia Bianco. (2) Thomas P. Pavelko. Date of Interview: October 12th, 2007. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: WO 93/11817 and US 4,509,515. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney Thomas Pavelko pointd out the supplemental documentation of september 3rd, 2004 that was to further support Affidavit 37 CFR 132, providing additional data and testing description. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required